

JUTE RETTING-SERIOUS THREAT TO AQUATIC BODIES & PHYTODIVERSITY IN BERHAMPORE BLOCK (W. B.)

PRASANJIT MUKHERJEE^{*1}, ANURADHA DAS² AND JYOTI KUMAR³

*¹Plant taxonomy and Ecology Lab, Deptt. of Botany, K. K. M. College, Pakur, ²P. G. Deptt.of Botany,
S. K. M. University, Dumka, ³P. G. Deptt. of Botany, Ranchi Univ. Ranchi

ABSTRACT

Water is indispensible for all forms of life. Globally, its demand is increasing, exponentially, due to increase in the population growth and economic development. This demand of water, for various anthropogenic activities is met, to a large extent, by the local water bodies. A study was carried out for last three years i.e.2008-2011, in the Berhampore block, situated in the Berhampore subdivisional town of the Murshidabad district, in the Indian state of West Bengal. There are large numbers of local water bodies, harbouring, invaluable varieties of aquatic plant species, belonging to different families, maintaining the plant's genetic diversity. *Jute (Corchorus capsularis* and *C. olitorius*), is a highly economic crop of this region. But the conventional retting process, in the production of Jute fibre, in these water bodies is causing serious threats' to their existence, along with these invaluable aquatic plant species. The present paper documents some of the invaluable plant species therein & highlights the adverse effects of Jute retting in these water bodies, of this region.

Key Words: Anthropogenic activities, water bodies, jute retting.

^{*1}Corresponding author: prasanjitmukherjee701@gmail.com

Introduction

Water, another name of life, is being over exploited to meet our thirst, receding its level by 2-4 m in many areas of India including, West Bengal- Murshidabad, Purulia, Parts of Malda, Hugli (Roy *et.al.*, 2008; Central Ground water Board's Annual report, 2009). The demand of water for various anthropogenic activities is met, to a large extent, by the local water bodies, of the study area. These water bodies also harbour invaluable aquatic plant species, belonging to different families, maintaining the plant's genetic diversity. *Jute* (Golden Fibre) industry, in this area, occupies an important place both in terms of value of annual foreign exchange and the number of people employed. *Jute* (*Corchorus* *capsularis* and *C. olitorius*), is a highly economic crop of this district along with the some adjacent areas, like Malda district of West Bengal, Pakur district of Jharkhand state etc. But, the conventional process of jute retting, to extract the fibre, is posing a serious threat to the prevailing biodiversity in this region. This has raised a question mark on the sustainability of these freshwater bodies.

The present paper documents some of the invaluable plant species therein & highlights the adverse effects of the conventional method of jute retting in these local water bodies. No other previous work is available except the work of Das and Mukherjee, 2012, which is a pioneer work of the study area. The important literatures referred are

Christopher, 2006; Guha, 1984; Gupta, 1987; Hains, 1921-24; Mooney, 1950; Mukherjee, 2001, Mukherjee and Kumar, 2003; Mukherjee *et al.*, 2006; Mukherjee *et al.*, 2007; Mukhopadhyay, 1987; Naskar, 1990; Subramanyam, 1962 and Alam, 1993 etc.

Materials and Methods

For this purpose, field survey has been conducted during 2008-2011 in Berhampore block of Murshidabad district. Urban area, under this block consists of three census towns: Kasimbazar, Goaljan and Gora Bazar.

Water bodies in this study area, were visited several times, especially during the stem retting of *Jute* & its fibre harvesting period. The aquatic plants species were collected from different water bodies, serving this purpose and dissected for proper identification. The local available herbaria were also referred for the effective identification of the plant species. The latter, were visited several times in each season to trap them in their flowering and fruiting period, for the phenological studies. Utmost care has been taken, while nurturing the aquatic phyto-diversity of local water bodies.

Jute Profile:

Jute is a bast fibre obtained from the secondary phloem. The bast fibre is obtained from *C. capsularis*, a species with round pods which is grown in lowland areas subjected to inundation. Fibres from *C. olitorius*, an upland species with long pods, is, but little inferior. The crop is harvested within three months after planting, while the flowers are still in bloom, in the months of June to October.

Separation of fibre by Retting:

The fibre is separated from the stems, by a traditional and most common, process of retting, in pools of stagnant water bodies. This method of retting is known as `stem retting', in which the complete plant stem is immersed in water in bundles of multiple layers termed `rets'. The bundles are made to sink in the water by placing sods and mud, on the top of them. The retting period varies from two to twenty-five days. The cultivator has, therefore, to visit the tank daily and ascertain by means of his nail, if the fibre has begun to separate from the stem. The cultivator then standing up to the waist in the water starts to remove small portion of the bark from the ends, next to the roots. Grasping them together, he then, strips off the whole with a little management from end to end without breaking either stem or fibre. Thereafter, the washing is done to remove the impurities. It is now wrung out to remove as much water as possible, and then hung up on lines prepared on the spot, to dry in the sun. And, thus, six to ten feet long, "The Golden Fibre", title earned by this fibre, due to the presence of natural pale-yellow, silky lusture, is obtained (Fig. a.-g.).

Observations

Adverse effects on the local water bodies

Innumerable local water bodies, which have been serving this conventional process of stem retting & *Jute* fibre harvesting, have either extinct or are on the verge of their extinction. This is mainly due to, a large amount of, the biomass decomposition, as a result of the aforesaid processes. Following are the adverse effects of these processes on the local water bodies of this region:

- 1. As a result of retting, the quality of water gets deteriorated. It becomes unfit for normal use.
- 2. It also results in a large amount of biomasses, decomposing in these bodies, lowering their vertical stratification, which in turn changes the physical and climatic conditions, affecting worse, on the biotic communities prevailing there.
- 3. The prevailing conditions, gets modulated, forcing their biotic communities to adapt to the new, changed environment; leading to ecological succession, excluding the unfit individuals, maintaining the theory of Darwin, the survival of the fittest.
- 4. And, thus these processes enhances, the rate of ecological succession, to a great extent,

thereby, threatening the existence of these water bodies.

- 5. Retting process, in these water bodies, emits unpleasant odour. The surrounding locality becomes intolerable & suffocating, to live in.
- 6. Many people living beside these water bodies suffer from various skin diseases when they use the water for bathing and other purposes.

Different Aquatic plant species

The lists of plants enumerated here are given in the alphabetical order along with their Family, availability and flowering and fruiting time (FI /Fr.Tm.) in Table-1. For the arrangement of plant species Bentham and Hooker's system of classification has been followed.

Results and Discussions

Jute (Corchorus capsularis and C. olitorius) fibre, is one of



Fig. b. "Rets" in water



Fig.d. Rets ready for fibre separation.

the least expensive and most versatile of textile fibres. These fibres are biodegradable, environmentally benign and renewable, and their productions provide reliable employment in many rural areas. But, the conventional stem retting and fibre harvesting processes have a profound effect, on the existence of



Fig. a. Stems harvested from field..



Fig.c. Rets made to sink by placing mud.



Fig.e. Fibres being separated with care.



Fig. f. Washed harvested fibres.





SI.No.	Plant Name, [Family]; Voucher specimen	Availability	Fl /Fr.Tm.
1.	Actinoscirpus grossus (L.f.) Goetghebeur, [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 311	Very common	July -Dec.
2.	Aeschynomene aspera (L.), [Fabaceae]; Anuradha Das - 601	Common	May-Sept.
3.	Alternanthera philoxeroides (Mart.) Griseb., [Amaranthaceae]; Anuradha Das - 172	Abundant	April-Aug.
4.	Aponogeton natans Engl. & Krause, [Aponogetonaceae]; Anuradha Das - 262	Common	July-Dec.
5.	Ceretophyllum demersum L., [Ceratophyllaceae]; Anuradha Das - 183	Very common	SeptDec.
6.	Colocasia esculenta (L.) Schott, [Araceae]; Anuradha Das - 132	Abundant	July-Nov.
7.	Commelina benghalensis L., [Commelinaceae]; Anuradha Das - 177	Common	NovFeb.
8.	Cyperus difformis L., [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 280	Very common	AugDec.
9.	Cyperus iria L., [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 272	Common	AugJan.
10.	Cyperus rotundus L., [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 289	Abundant	June-Jan.
11.	Dryopteris chrysocoma, [Polypodiaceae]; Anuradha Das - 104	Abundant	April-July
12.	Eichhornia crassipes (Mart.) Solms, [Pontederiaceae]; Anuradha Das - 202	Abundant	Apr-Nov.

13.	Eleocharis atropurpurea (Retz.) Presler, [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 299	Common	SeptDec.
14.	<i>Eleocharis dulcis</i> Trin ex Henschel., [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 277	Common	OctDec.
15.	Enydra fluctuans Lour., [Asteraceae]; Anuradha Das - 372	Abundant	Dec.March
16.	Eriocaulon cinereum R. Br., [Eriocaulaceae]; Anuradha Das - 396	Common	AugNov.
17.	Fimbristylis bisumbellata (Forssk.) Bubani, [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 247	Common	OctDec.
18.	<i>Fimbristylis falcata</i> (Vahl) Kunth, [Cyperaceae]; Anuradha Das - 211	Very common	July-Sept.
19.	Hydrilla verticillata (L.f.) Royle, [Hydrocharitaceae]; Anuradha Das - 245	Common	NovJan.
20.	Hygroryza aristata Nees ex Wt. & Arn., [Poaceae]; Anuradha Das - 109	Common	OctDec.
21.	Hygrophila auriculata (K. Schum.) Heine, [Acanthaceae]; Anuradha Das -133	Very Common	SepFeb.
22.	Ipomoea aquatica Forsskal, [Convolvulaceae]; Anuradha Das - 389	Abundant	SeptFeb.
23.	Lemna perpusilla Torrey, [Lemnaceae]; Anuradha Das - 192	Common	May-Sept.
24.	Ludwigia adscendens (L.) Hara, [Onagraceae]; Anuradha Das - 325	Common	Whole Year
25.	Marsilea minuta L., [Marsileaceae]; Anuradha Das - 103	Very common	April-June
26.	Monochoria hastata (L.) Solms, [Pontederiaceae]; Anuradha Das - 140	Very common	July-Nov.
27.	Monochoria vaginalis (Burm. f.) Kunth, [Pontederiaceae]; Anuradha Das - 313	Common	July-Nov.
28.	Nechamandra alternifolia Thw., [Hydrocharitaceae]; Anuradha Das - 169	Common	AugFeb.
29.	Nelumbo nucifera Gaertner, [Nelumbonaceae]; Anuradha Das - 306	Very common	July - Nov.
30.	Nymphaea nouchali Burm.f., [Nymphaeaceae]; Anuradha Das - 118	Common	AugNov.
31.	Nymphaea stellata F. Muell., [Nymphaeaceae]; Anuradha Das - 105	Common	AugNov.
32.	Nymphoides indica (L.) Kuntze, [Menyanthaceae]; Anuradha Das - 345	Common	Whole Year
33.	Nymphoides hydrophylla (Lour.) Kuntze, [Menyanthaceae]; Anuradha Das - 400	Very common	July- Nov.

34	Persicaria harhata (L.) Hara [Polygonaceae]:		
	Anuradha Das - 176	Common	SeptFeb.
35.	Pistia stratiotes L., [Araceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 167	Very common	AugOct.
36.	Polygonum plebeium R. Br., [Polygonaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 154	Common	Nov. March.
37.	Potamogeton nodosus Poiret, [Potamogetonaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 377	Common	OctMarch
38.	Ranunculus sceleratus L., [Ranunculaceae];		
	Anuradha Das -124	Very common	NovFeb.
39.	Rumex dentatus L., [Polygonaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 187	Very common	DecJune
40.	Sagittaria sagittifolia L., [Alismataceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 329	Very common	OctMarch
41.	Schoenoplectus articulata (L.) Palla, [Cyperaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 143	Common	SeptDec.
42.	Schoenoplectus grossus (L. f.) Palla, [Cyperaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 154	Common	SeptDec.
43.	Spirodella polyrrhiza (L.) Schleid, [Lemnaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 200	Abundant	April-Nov.
44.	Trapa natans L., [Trapaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 333	Common	SeptJan.
45.	Typha angustata Bory & Chaub., [Typhaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 150	Common	April-June
46.	Utricularia aurea Lour., [Lentibulariaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 398	Common	SeptNov.
47.	Utricularia stellaris L.f., [Lentibulariaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 367	Very common	SeptFeb.
48.	Vallisneria spiralis L., [Hydrocharitaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 249	Abundant	NovMarch
49.	Wolfia arrhiza (L.) Harkel ex Wimm., [Lemnaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 254	Common	July-Oct.
50.	Zannichellia palustris L., [Zannchelliaceae];		
	Anuradha Das - 387	Common	OctMarch

these local water bodies, embodying invaluable aquatic phyto-diversity & also on the surrounding environment.

The water bodies of this region include a total of 50 aquatic plant species, belonging to 29 families and 33 genera. Eichhornia crassipes, Alternanthera philexoroides, Dryopteris chrysocoma, Nelumbo nucifera, Nymphea nouchalli, Marsilea minuta, Ipomoea aquatica, Pistia stratiotes, Spirodella polyrrhiza and Lemna perpusilla are very common species of the study area. Some species like Aeschynomene aspera, Cyperus iria are threatened species Gupta, 2011. Cyperaceae counts the maximum number of species 10, then Pontederiaceae with 3 species along with Lemnaceae also with 3 species.

These invaluable plant species have their own significance in maintaining ecological balance & nature's beauty. Some species like *Nelumbo nucifera, Marsilea minuta, Ipomoea aquatic, Persicaria barbata etc. have quiet medicinal properties. These water bodies need* utmost care to save diverse plant species therein.

On the other hand, these water resources can also be utilized for various anthropogenic activities, including crop production in off seasons. In order to sustain the balance, between, both, the *Jute* fibre production and preservation of these local water bodies, improved and better methods, for the process, like Bacterial cultures, Fungal cultures, Chemical retting, Bleaching treatment etc. should be employed, yielding better-quality *Jute* fibres. And, genuine efforts should be made, to popularize these promising methods, among the cultivators and *Jute* producers, in order to enable them to obtain higher returns, preserving these local water bodies.

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to their teacher, Dr. T. K. Ghosh, Retd. Professor, Plant Taxonomy and Palynological Lab., Ranchi University, (Ranchi) and Dr. S. L. Bondya, Assistant Professor, S. K. M. University, Dumka, for providing his valuable guidelines and helping in the identification of the plant species.

References

- Alam, A. 1993. Jute Retting and Environment. Report No. 27. Dhaka, 13 pp.
- Central Ground water Board, Ministry of water resources, Govt. of India, Annual report 2009.
- Christopher, C. 2006. Study on the diversity of the aquatic vascular plants in Karikkakom, Thiruanantpuram. *Ecol. Env. & Conc.* 12(4): 661 663.
- Das, A. and Mukherjee, P. 2012. Floristic studies of ponds of Berhampur subdivision of Murshidabad district, Paschim Bangal. *Recent studies in biodiversity and*

traditional knowledge in India. 181-185.

- Guha Bakshi, D. N. 1984. *Flora of Murshidabad District, West Bengal, India.* Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur.
- Gupta, A. K. 2011. *Aeschynomene aspera*. In: IUCN 2011. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- Gupta, O. P. 1979. Aquatic weeds their menace and control a text book and manual. Today & tomorrow's Printers & Publisher, New Delhi.
- Hains, H. H. 1921-24. *The Botany of Bihar and Orissa*. Allard & Sons and West Newman Ltd., London.
- Mooney, H. F. 1950. A supplement to the Botany of Bihar & Orissa, Catholic press Ranchi.
- Mukherje, P., Ghosh, T. K. and Sinha, S. 2006. Status of Economically important plants of Lohardaga. Proceeding XXIX Annual Conference of India Botanical society.
- Mukherjee, P. 2001. The Floristic and Ecological studies of Aquatic Angiosperms of Lohardaga. Ph. D Thesis, Ranchi University, Ranchi.
- Mukherjee, P. and Kumar, P. 2003. Studies on the angiospermic flora of ponds of Ranchi (Jharkhand). Proceeding National Seminar on Biodiversity, Taxonomy and conservation. Majalgaon College, Majalgaon, Maharashtra.
- Mukherjee, P., Verma, S. K. and Pandey, P. K. 2007. The Floristic Studies of aquatic angiosperms of Lohardaga. Proceeding XXX Annual conference of Indian Botanical society.
- Mukhopadhyay, C. R. 1987. Aquatic and Semi-aquatic plants of Birbhum district, West Bengal. *J. Econ. Taxo Bot.* 9:230–238.
- Naskar, K. R. 1990. Aquatic & Semi-aquatic plants of the lower Ganga Delta. Daya Publishing House, Delhi.
- Roy, M. 2008. Development of groundwater resources in a region with high population density: a study of environmental sustainability. *J. Enviornmental Sciences*, 5(4): 251-267.